

The African Paradox: A Land of Wealth, a People in Need

Eavida Chimedza

Accountancy, Copperbelt University, Kitwe, Zambia
Email: eavida.chimedza@gmail.com

Abstract: Africa's vast natural wealth stands in stark contrast to its enduring poverty and economic stagnation – a contradiction rooted in colonial legacies that established extractive institutions and external dependencies. Today, the continent remains heavily reliant on raw material exports, with intra-African trade accounting for just 13.8% of total trade. This over-dependence has stifled diversification and reinforced structural vulnerabilities. This essay examines the historical and systemic factors that have shaped Africa's economic trajectory, arguing that sustainable transformation requires industrialization, financial inclusion, and regional integration. It introduces three innovative economic models: skill-backed lending to expand financial access through competencies rather than collateral; apprenticeship-for-ownership programs to promote equity and transfer of productive assets; and the One-Continent, One-Supply Chain policy to build an integrated African production network. By investing in bold policy reforms, strategic regional cooperation, and community-driven enterprises, Africa can start a path toward economic autonomy and inclusive, long-term growth.

Keywords:

1. Africa's economy
2. Industrialization
3. Financial inclusion
4. Intra-African trade
5. Economic independence

2026 Journal ASAP

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18268133](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18268133)

Special Issue on:

Africa Rising

Received 4 September 2025

Revised 30 December 2026

Accepted 13 January 2026

Available online 16 January 2026

1. Introduction

Africa is a paradox: a continent overflowing with natural wealth – diamonds, gold, oil, fertile land, and rare minerals—yet persistently constrained by poverty, unemployment, and economic underdevelopment. Its resources fuel global industries, from smartphones to electric vehicles, while local populations struggle to access electricity, food security, and stable income. Why does Africa's immense wealth contribute more to global prosperity than to its own? The answer lies in a deep historical and structural context of exploitation, economic dependency, and missed opportunities for value addition.

For centuries, Africa has served as a raw material supplier to the world. Under colonialism and beyond, its primary role has been extraction – resources leave the continent unprocessed

and return as expensive manufactured goods. The Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), for example, produces over 70% of the world's cobalt, a mineral vital to modern technology, yet almost none of it is refined locally (USGS, 2024, p. 66, 67). This pattern results in African economies remaining at the mercy of fluctuating commodity prices and global demand, while capturing only a fraction of potential value addition.

As Adam Smith noted, "No society can surely be flourishing and happy, of which the far greater part of the members are poor and miserable" (Smith 1776, Book I, Ch. VIII). Africa's lack of industrial capacity locks it into this unenviable position. In contrast, countries that have industrialized—Europe during the 19th century (Berlanstein, 2003) and East and Southeast Asia during the late 20th century (Athukorala, 2010) – transformed their economies through domestic manufacturing, infrastructure, and technical training. These countries moved from exporting raw goods to producing high-value products, retaining wealth and creating jobs. Africa has yet to make this transition at scale.

Today, industrialization and regional integration are not just economic preferences but developmental imperatives. The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), launched in 2022, promises to reduce trade barriers and stimulate intra-African trade, creating the scale needed for homegrown industries to thrive. If successfully implemented, AfCFTA could lift tens of millions out of poverty and reduce reliance on exports to volatile foreign markets (World Bank, 2020). But trade deals alone are not enough.

Foreign aid, long considered a development solution, has proven to be a double-edged sword. While it has funded health and education, it has also fostered dependency and propped up unaccountable governments. According to Goldsmith (2001, pp. 123-148), foreign aid often empowers governments but fails to produce meaningful economic improvements. Sustainable change requires an internal economic foundation, not external lifelines.

That foundation must begin with people—Africa's youth, workforce, and entrepreneurs. Skill development and innovative financing are critical. Traditional loan models often exclude those without collateral or formal employment. Skill-backed financing, by contrast, invests in people based on their technical competence, not wealth. This shifts capital toward productivity and industrial growth. At the same time, mobile finance platforms like M-Pesa and Tala have broken down access barriers, enabling millions to receive, save, and borrow money in ways that bypass traditional banking systems.¹ These innovations prove that Africa does not lack ideas—it lacks policies that scale and sustain them.

To complement financial inclusion, educational and vocational training must be aligned with economic realities. Rwanda offers a compelling model. Its Industrialization and Local Value Addition programs integrate technical education with industrial planning. Apprenticeship and ownership pathways help young people move beyond survival work into skilled trades and entrepreneurship. This kind of human-capital-centered industrial policy is essential if Africa is to transform its economies from extractive to productive.

This essay explores three interlinked strategies to drive that transformation: Skill-Backed Lending, Apprenticeship-for-Ownership, and Pan-African Trade. Each model targets a critical node of economic stagnation – access to capital, access to skills, and access to markets. Together, they form a blueprint for self-reliant and inclusive development. Africa's future must be built not on aid or extraction, but on the ingenuity, labor, and enterprise of its own people. As Nelson Mandela once said, "It always seems impossible until it's done." Africa's transformation may be complex, but the tools for change lie within its grasp.

¹ Tala (2022). "Impact Report." <https://tala.co/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Impact-Report-2022.pdf> (last accessed 17 June 2025)

2. Skill-Backed Lending: Unlocking Africa's Human Capital

2.1. The problem: a barrier to dreams

Imagine a young woman in a remote African village, brimming with talent, ambition, and skill but without land, savings, or any formal assets. She dreams of opening a bakery, a tailoring shop, or even launching a small tech startup. But one thing stands in her way: access to capital. In most African countries, formal financial institutions still operate on outdated models that require physical collateral—property, land titles, or large savings. Without these, her dream remains just that—a dream.

While digital financial inclusion has expanded rapidly across the continent, especially through mobile money, credit access remains dismally low for large segments of the population. According to the World Bank Group (2021), although 55% of Sub-Saharan African adults now have access to a financial account—largely due to mobile money platforms—formal credit remains a distant goal for most, especially women, rural residents, youth, and those with limited education. In many countries, fewer than 10% of adults have borrowed from a formal financial institution. The obstacles are well-known: lack of collateral, no credit history, irregular incomes from informal work, and high interest rates. The result? Over 80% of adults are excluded from the formal credit system (World Bank, 2021), which perpetuates cycles of poverty, unemployment, and underutilized human potential.

2.2. The solution: skill-backed lending

What if banks and lenders stopped asking, What do you own? and instead asked, What can you do? This is the philosophy behind skill-backed loans, a novel approach to credit access rooted in the belief that human capital—skills, experience, training—is a valid and measurable form of value. Instead of collateralizing land, borrowers would use proof of their ability: trade certifications, portfolios, apprenticeships, customer feedback, or work history.

This idea is partly inspired by Muhammad Yunus, who pioneered microcredit by replacing collateral with social trust. His work with Grameen Bank in Bangladesh showed that belief in, and access to capital can help people escape poverty (Yunus & Jolis, 2000, pp. 102–115). However, unlike trust-based, group-guaranteed microcredit, skill-backed loans rely on verified expertise as collateral. They also avoid the high effective interest rates of microcredit—such as Grameen's 10% flat rate (~20% annually)—by using tech-driven efficiencies and broader risk-sharing (Grameen, 2009, pp. 45–48).

2.3. An emerging precedent: Kenya's Tala pilot

This concept is already gaining traction. Tala, a Kenyan fintech lender, offers small loans—averaging around \$36—to artisans, skilled workers, and other underserved individuals who lack traditional collateral. Instead of relying on property or savings, Tala evaluates applicants using alternative data such as mobile-money and phone usage patterns, mobility information, social network dynamics, and customer feedback captured via its app (Layne, 2025, para. 14, 15). These approaches suggest that inclusive, skill-conscious lending is not just aspirational—it can be both practical and scalable.

2.4. How skill-backed loans work

At its core, skill-backed lending requires shifting the definition of collateral. Here's how such a system would function:

Eligibility Based on Skills:

Borrowers submit portfolios, trade certificates, and endorsements from clients or supervisors. A tailor might share samples of past work; a plumber could verify training through a trade association.

AI-Driven Credit Scoring:

AI-Driven Credit Scoring is a modern method of assessing a borrower's creditworthiness using artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms to analyze a wide range of data beyond traditional financial records. Unlike conventional scoring, which depends on bank accounts, formal salaries, and credit history, AI models include nontraditional factors such as work history, quality of outputs, prior earnings, and social reputation to create a skill-based credit score. This approach is more inclusive and better at predicting repayment success, especially for those in informal or gig economies. It captures a borrower's ability to generate income and maintain consistent work, making credit access fairer and more reliable.

Blockchain Certification:

Blockchain Certification uses blockchain technology—a decentralized, secure digital ledger—to store, verify, and protect educational credentials, training certificates, and skills records. Since blockchain data is immutable and transparent, lenders can instantly verify a borrower's credentials, ensuring authenticity and reducing fraud risk. This solves a major challenge in skill-backed lending by preventing forgery and enabling real-time qualification checks. Ultimately, it protects both borrowers and lenders and builds trust in the system.

Mobile Integration:

With mobile penetration exceeding 70% across much of Africa, mobile apps provide an accessible platform for loan applications, repayments, and support—even in remote areas.

2.5. Implementation strategy

To operationalize skill-backed loans across African economies, we propose a condensed three-phase model: Policy and Technology, Implementation, and Scaling.

Phase 1: policy and technology

For skill-backed lending to succeed, governments must create strong legal frameworks that recognize certified skills as valid collateral. This involves updating financial regulations, especially banking laws, to include non-physical assets like vocational certificates and verified portfolios in credit assessments. Accreditation of training institutions is crucial to give credentials legal authority. Additionally, national skill registries should be established to standardize and store these credentials, providing a reliable foundation for lenders and borrowers.

A second critical component is building infrastructure to score and verify skills. Traditional credit scoring often excludes informal workers, freelancers, and vocational graduates, so alternative systems are needed. AI-driven tools can assess portfolio quality, work history, and

client feedback, while being sensitive to local labor markets. To boost trust, blockchain-based credentialing can securely store and verify certificates from NGOs and vocational institutions, preventing forgery and increasing lender confidence.

Finally, mobile technology plays a key role in expanding access to skill-backed lending. Mobile phones can serve as the main interface for borrowers, enabling them to upload work samples, access tailored loan products, track repayment schedules, and receive financial education. This mobile-first approach is particularly important in regions with limited physical banking infrastructure and ensures that underserved populations are not left behind in the transition toward inclusive credit systems.

Phase 2: Implementation through targeted pilots and incentives

Skill-backed lending should start with pilot programs in sectors rich in skills but lacking traditional collateral, such as tailoring, carpentry, farming, and digital freelancing. These fields involve measurable, valuable skills but often exclude workers from formal finance. Artisans and tradespeople benefit by proving competence through their work rather than assets. Women entrepreneurs, who often lack land or formal collateral, also gain access. Likewise, unemployed youth and recent graduates with vocational or informal experience can secure loans based on their skills instead of credit history.

To reduce risks in new lending models, governments and NGOs can provide loan guarantees during pilot phases. These guarantees absorb losses and ease lender concerns, encouraging financial institutions to participate. Development partners like the World Bank and African Development Bank could co-finance guarantees or subsidize interest rates to improve access. By sharing initial risks, they help build confidence, speed adoption, and support long-term sustainability.

An innovative repayment model for skill-backed lending allows borrowers to repay part of their loan through community services. For example, a tailor with a \$500 loan could make school uniforms for public schools, while a mechanic could offer discounted repairs for government vehicles. This creates a threefold benefit: borrowers access capital, lenders are repaid through services, and communities gain affordable goods or support. By linking repayment to public service, the model fosters goodwill and strengthens local social and economic ties.

Phase 3: Scaling through market integration and ecosystem support

Once skill-backed lending proves viable through pilot programs, the next step is inclusive scale-up. This means actively closing access gaps that might exclude marginalized groups. Many potential borrowers—especially in rural or low-income areas—may lack the digital literacy to use mobile platforms or understand credit terms, making public education vital. Financial products should also be gender-inclusive, with features like flexible repayment schedules or incentives linked to childcare, better supporting women entrepreneurs. At the same time, partnerships with vocational schools and universities can create structured pathways for youth, allowing graduates to enter credit systems using certified skills as collateral.

Public-private partnerships will be critical in scaling these systems nationally and across borders. Commercial banks can provide the capital and underwriting infrastructure, while technology companies supply the scoring models, mobile platforms, and data tools required to assess borrower risk. Governments must play an enabling role by delivering the necessary policy frameworks, legal protections, and regulatory oversight. These tripartite collaborations will ensure not only efficiency and innovation but also accountability and long-term alignment with national development goals.

The ultimate aim is to establish a sustainable, normalized ecosystem in which skill-backed lending becomes a mainstream financial instrument rather than a niche innovation. This involves institutionalizing support systems, such as the creation of regional and national credit bureaus dedicated to tracking skill-based lending activity and repayment performance. Similarly, pan-African accreditation bodies can harmonize vocational certification standards, making it easier to assess skill value across borders and sectors. Encouraging private investment into well-performing portfolios of skill-backed loans will further deepen market integration, channeling capital into productive talent and fostering inclusive economic growth at scale.

2.6. Why it matters

Skill-backed lending represent more than just financial innovation—it is a reimagining of value itself. Africa has the youngest population in the world, with over 60% under the age of 25. This demographic profile can either propel or burden the continent. Traditional finance systems—anchored in asset-based collateral—exclude millions from opportunity. But with a shift toward human capital, Africa can unleash a new wave of entrepreneurs, artisans, and innovators. The barriers are real. But so is the potential. By collateralizing talent, rather than title deeds, we not only democratize finance—we redefine what it means to be creditworthy in the 21st century.

Let us imagine a continent where a woman's skill with a needle, a young man's carpentry craftsmanship, or a graduate's coding portfolio holds the same financial weight as a deed to land. That is the promise of skill-backed lending—a promise Africa is ready to keep.

3. Apprenticeship-for-Ownership Model: Building Inclusive Economies through Worker Equity in Africa

Africa's economic challenges are deeply rooted in structural inequities and a historical legacy of colonization, resource extraction, and global marginalization. Despite its abundant resources, Africa remains disproportionately poor. A significant cause is the model of economic participation that renders workers as mere tools in the production process rather than stakeholders in wealth creation. To address this issue, this paper proposes the Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model—a phased, equity-based approach that transitions workers from laborers to co-owners of enterprises. Rooted in principles of inclusive development, the model draws inspiration from successful cooperative movements and emphasizes African agency, regional integration, and institutional innovation.

3.1. The problem: structural exploitation and limited worker empowerment

Africa's workforce is one of its greatest assets. Yet, millions of workers face low wages, precarious employment, limited career mobility, and little stake in the prosperity they help create. This pattern is not accidental but structurally embedded. Historically, African economies were shaped to serve colonial interests, prioritizing raw material extraction over local value addition. Post-independence, many countries inherited centralized, elite-driven economies with little room for grassroots participation. Today, while foreign direct investment and multinational corporations play a visible role, they often extract value without deeply embedding benefits into local communities.

The result is a cycle of dependency, inequality, and underdevelopment. African workers contribute their labor, time, and creativity to enterprises but remain excluded from ownership, profit-sharing, and decision-making. This exclusion reinforces poverty, limits innovation, and undermines national economic sovereignty.

3.2. The solution: the apprenticeship-for-ownership model

To challenge this structure, the Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model offers an inclusive pathway where workers gradually become co-owners of the businesses they help build. Unlike traditional employment, this model ensures that contributions of labor are reciprocated not just with wages but with equity.

Inspired by Spain's Mondragon Corporation (Morris, 1992), the model is adaptable to African realities. It aligns with the traditional Igbo apprenticeship system in Nigeria, where labor leads to skill development and business ownership, showing its practical viability in Africa (Oklo, 2022, pp. 18, 19). The model not only creates wealth but also embeds economic power within communities, ensuring that value generated locally stays local.

3.3. Economic benefits of the apprenticeship-for-ownership model

The apprenticeship-for-ownership model offers a transformative path to wealth creation in Africa by allowing workers to build equity in the businesses they help grow. Instead of remaining wage laborers, apprentices gradually become part-owners, gaining dividends, profit-sharing, and capital appreciation. This fosters financial security and a strong sense of ownership. The model echoes Spain's Mondragon Corporation, a federation of worker cooperatives where members receive annual surpluses based on company performance.² In many rural African areas with limited banking access, community-based equity participation also serves as a practical alternative to traditional savings, helping communities build wealth without relying on exploitative financial institutions.

Beyond wealth creation, the model also boosts job creation and retention, especially during downturns. Employee-owned firms have shown greater resilience in crises; in the U.S., they laid off fewer workers during the 2008–2009 recession (Blasi et al., 2013, pp. 101–124). This is because worker-owners balance immediate income with long-term sustainability, often opting for pay cuts or reinvestment over layoffs. For African economies marked by informal work and job insecurity, the apprenticeship-for-ownership model offers not just jobs, but stable, meaningful participation in production.

One of the model's greatest benefits is strengthening local industries. When workers own enterprises, profits are more likely to stay and be reinvested locally. This counters the extractive practices of foreign firms. For example, South Africa exports iron ore but relies on imported steel—hindering job creation and industrial growth. Worker-owned businesses tend to support local procurement and reinvestment, helping build an integrated manufacturing base aligned with AfCFTA goals.

3.4. Social benefits of the apprenticeship-for-ownership model

The apprenticeship-for-ownership model can reduce inequality by redistributing both income and capital. Unlike traditional wage systems that concentrate profits among owners, co-ownership lets workers share in a company's success. This shift helps counter Africa's deep-rooted socioeconomic disparities. U.S. studies show that employees with stock options build more wealth than those without (Kruse et al., 2010, ch. 8). By expanding access to ownership, the model creates economic opportunities for marginalized groups—informal workers, rural communities, and women—challenging long-standing exclusion.

² Co-operative News (2018). "Mondragon changes rules around the distribution of dividends." Co-operative News. <https://www.thenews.coop/mondragon-changes-rules-around-the-distribution-of-dividends/>

Beyond reducing inequality, the model boosts motivation and productivity by reshaping workers' relationship to the firm. As co-owners, employees gain financial and emotional investment in the enterprise. Blasi et al. (2013, ch. 2) call this the "psychological ownership effect," which leads to greater initiative, commitment, and lower turnover. In African contexts marked by job dissatisfaction and limited mobility, shared ownership fosters engagement and long-term thinking—key for sustainable industrial growth.

The model also fosters skill development, as ownership requires more than labor—it demands governance, financial literacy, and strategic thinking. Apprenticeship-for-ownership programs include training in these areas, building competencies beyond the workplace. Mondragon, for example, educates members in democratic decision-making and planning (Meek & Woodworth, 1990). In parts of Africa with limited formal education, such models double as platforms for adult learning, supporting human capital and economic citizenship.

3.5. Regional benefits of the apprenticeship-for-ownership model

The apprenticeship-for-ownership model directly supports AfCFTA by fostering local, interconnected production systems that enable cross-border trade. AfCFTA aims to boost intra-African commerce by cutting tariffs and harmonizing trade rules, but this requires strong, homegrown industries (Byiers et al., 2021, pp. 3–9). Worker-owned enterprises fill this gap by rooting supply chains in local communities, keeping production and value addition within Africa. For example, linking Rwandan agricultural cooperatives with Ghanaian worker-owned food processors helps profits and jobs circulate regionally, strengthening economic resilience. Unlike foreign subsidiaries that often repatriate profits, locally owned firms build a self-sustaining African economy and promote continental sovereignty.

The model also promotes environmental and social sustainability by aligning business decisions with local community welfare. Worker-owned firms, rooted in their communities, encourage long-term thinking and responsible stewardship. Cooperatives often outperform conventional firms in sustainability by investing in training, knowledge sharing, and member services that support eco-friendly farming (Candemir et al., 2021, pp. 1125–1126). In Murang'a County, Kenya, dairy cooperatives helped 87% of farmers adopt at least two climate-smart practices—like improved fodder and manure management—through peer education and collective action (Maindi et al., 2020, Table 3). Because business incentives directly reflect member-owners' well-being, worker-owned firms naturally embed sustainability into their operations rather than seeing it as an external duty.

3.6. Implementation plan

Phase 1: Legal and Institutional Frameworks

Rolling out the apprenticeship-to-ownership model requires a strong legal and institutional base. Governments should adopt voluntary equity-sharing policies allowing businesses to shift to worker ownership over 3–5 years, supported by incentives like tax breaks or preferential government contracts. Public recognition—through awards or media—can further encourage adoption by showcasing successful cases. A national Apprenticeship Equity Commission (AEC) should oversee fairness, protect all parties, and ensure transparency. Clear legal frameworks must define equity terms—voting rights, dividends, and exit rules—to encourage participation and safeguard workers from exclusion or exploitation.

Phase 2: Pilot and Rollout

To ensure impact and feasibility, implementation should start in sectors with high potential for inclusive ownership—manufacturing, agriculture, and technology. These industries employ much of the informal labor force and offer opportunities for local value addition. Embedding the model here can reduce underemployment and boost domestic industry. Public-private partnerships will be key: governments, banks, and development partners should co-fund cooperatives and startups, especially in undercapitalized areas. These partnerships can lower investment risks and create pilot projects that build trust in the model. Capacity building is equally vital. Workers must gain skills in finance, governance, and entrepreneurship to succeed as co-owners. Institutions like the African Union, national training bodies, and universities should co-create training tailored to informal workers. Without this knowledge, failure may result from poor internal management rather than market forces.

Phase 3: Scaling and Institutionalization

As the apprenticeship-for-ownership model matures, a structured scaling and institutionalization phase becomes essential to ensure its long-term viability and replicability across different sectors and regions. A critical component of this phase is the establishment of a robust monitoring and evaluation framework. Impact audits should be systematically conducted to track key metrics such as employment growth, wage progression, productivity improvements, and enterprise sustainability. These audits can help identify successful practices, surface challenges early, and provide empirical evidence to guide policy refinement and donor engagement. By quantifying both economic and social returns, such evaluations lend credibility to the model and encourage broader adoption by governments and financial institutions.

After initial focus on industries like manufacturing and agriculture, later phases should target sectors such as healthcare, education, and retail—especially those tied to community welfare. Converting rural clinics or schools into worker-owned entities can improve service delivery, job stability, and accountability. Likewise, retail cooperatives can expand employment and access in underserved areas. Broadening into these sectors shows the model's versatility and relevance across diverse contexts.

Finally, the long-term success of worker-owned enterprises relies on strong risk mitigation systems to protect members' assets and livelihoods. Since worker-owners invest both labor and capital, tools like insurance, liquidity buffers, and stabilization funds are vital to shield enterprises from shocks such as market downturns or disasters. Institutionalizing these safeguards ensures collective risk-sharing and minimizes individual losses. With such measures, the apprenticeship-for-ownership model can evolve into a stable pillar of Africa's economic transformation.

3.7. Challenges and solutions

Voluntary Participation

A key strength of the Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model is its voluntary nature—but this also poses a challenge: what if workers opt out? Fear of the unknown, lack of understanding, or focus on short-term income can deter participation. In low-income settings, immediate needs often outweigh long-term goals. A phased, supportive rollout is vital. Education campaigns using real-life examples from Rwanda and Mondragon can highlight benefits. Trusted community figures can build confidence, and flexible onboarding lets workers join when ready. Hybrid models—mixing traditional employment with ownership—can ease adoption. As dividends and success stories emerge, participation will likely grow through peer influence.

Corruption and Governance Risks

Corruption poses a serious risk to the success of the Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model, particularly in countries with low institutional trust. The challenges include potential misallocation of shares, elite capture of cooperative leadership, and political interference—issues that can erode credibility and discourage participation. To counter this, technological and institutional safeguards must be prioritized. Implementing blockchain technology to transparently record share ownership and all financial transactions would significantly reduce opportunities for fraud, favoritism, and opaque management. Furthermore, mandatory independent audits—especially for cooperatives receiving public funding—can provide an additional layer of credibility. Each worker-owned enterprise should also establish elected Worker Oversight Councils to monitor leadership and business performance from within. Finally, digital platforms accessible via mobile and web dashboards should be used to publish regular updates on finances, dividend payments, and key decisions. When workers are informed and empowered, governance becomes more participatory, transparent, and resilient.

Business Resistance

Private business owners may resist transitioning to employee ownership, particularly if they view it as a threat to control, profits, or traditional hierarchies. Such resistance could stall adoption of the model, especially in family-owned enterprises or sectors with rigid management cultures. To encourage buy-in, the model must demonstrate that co-ownership can be a win-win. Governments can introduce tax incentives, such as reduced corporate income taxes for companies that transition to partial or full employee ownership. Additionally, access to capital should be linked to co-ownership: companies could receive low-interest loans or grants only if they commit to gradually transferring shares to their workforce. Public recognition also matters—reputational incentives like national awards, media visibility, or AfCFTA-backed certifications can help establish prestige around ethical and inclusive business practices. By framing ownership sharing as a strategic upgrade rather than a surrender, the model becomes more appealing to business leaders.

Funding Constraints

Scaling the Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model requires substantial capital—not only to convert existing businesses into cooperatives but also to launch new ones. The cost of training, infrastructure, and seed equity must be covered without placing undue burden on workers or early-stage enterprises. A practical solution is the creation of Worker Equity Funds, co-financed by governments, multilateral partners like the African Development Bank (AfDB, 2024), and philanthropic investors. These funds can co-finance startups and cooperative conversions, ensuring that capital gaps do not exclude lower-income participants. They can also underwrite governance and financial literacy training, preparing workers for their new roles as co-owners. Finally, Worker Equity Funds should include fallback reserves—financial cushions that can absorb the impact of business failures without devastating worker livelihoods. With these safeguards, the model can expand responsibly and inclusively.

Cultural Shifts and Mindset

Shifting mindsets from wage dependency to ownership is a long-term challenge rooted in colonial legacies and hierarchical labor norms. To help workers see themselves as value creators, both education and inspiration are key. Embedding cooperative economics into school curricula can instil this early, while business and civic courses should include African cooperative case studies. Media campaigns—via storytelling, radio dramas, and short videos—can highlight real-life success stories, making co-ownership relatable. Gradually, this will help reframe ownership as a shared right, not just an elite privilege.

3.8. Toward a sovereign and inclusive economy

The Apprenticeship-for-Ownership model is more than a policy—it is a reimagining of Africa's economic foundation. By transitioning workers from laborers to co-owners, it addresses structural injustice while creating sustainable wealth. It offers a path to inclusive capitalism rooted in African realities.

Importantly, the model complements broader continental goals such as Agenda 2063 and the AfCFTA by promoting self-reliance, industrialization, and intra-African trade. Implementation will not be without difficulty. But with visionary leadership, participatory governance, and smart technology, Africa can pioneer a new model of development—one where workers are not just employed but empowered.

Africa's future lies not in waiting for foreign investment but in unlocking the wealth of its people. Ownership is not just an economic tool—it is a human right, a source of dignity, and a foundation for lasting development.

4. One-Continent, One-Supply Chain

Africa is often described as a continent of abundance. It is home to vast mineral reserves, fertile land, rich biodiversity, and significant energy resources, including oil and gas. Yet, despite this natural wealth, many African countries continue to struggle with poverty, unemployment, and underdevelopment. Africa's continued dependence on exporting raw commodities, combined with low domestic resource mobilisation, limits its ability to fund inclusive and transformative development (AfDB, 2025, p. 7). When raw materials are processed abroad and re-imported as finished goods, Africa not only loses potential revenue but also misses opportunities to develop local industries and jobs.

The vision of a One-Continent, One-Supply Chain—a coordinated, continent-wide manufacturing and trade strategy—offers a compelling alternative. Anchored in the goals of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), this policy framework aims to shift African economies from extractive models to integrated value-adding system. The next step is continent-wide collaboration to build an intra-African supply chain that retains wealth, fosters industrialization, and drives inclusive development.

4.1. Problem: raw material dependency and disjointed production

Despite its resource wealth, Africa remains economically dependent on the export of unprocessed commodities. According to Afreximbank (2024, p. 65), over 70% of Africa's exports are primary commodities, while intra-African trade remains low, accounting for just 18% of the continent's total trade—a figure strikingly lower than that of other regions such as Asia and Europe (Afreximbank, 2024, p. 19). For instance, Nigeria exports crude oil but lacks refining capacity, forcing it to import refined petroleum products. Similarly, South Africa exports iron ore but imports steel due to insufficient domestic manufacturing infrastructure.

This reliance on external processing leads to value leakage—foreign firms capture most of the profits from African resources—while local industries remain underdeveloped. The consequences are systemic: low job creation, limited innovation, and continued economic vulnerability. Without coordinated investment in local production, Africa's resource wealth will continue to benefit others more than its own people.

4.2. Solution: building a one-continent, one-supply chain

To reverse this trend, African countries must work together to build a One-Continent, One-Supply Chain—a strategic, integrated system that focuses on local processing, manufacturing, and intra-continental trade. This policy initiative aligns with AfCFTA’s goals of increasing regional value chains and reducing barriers to trade. It envisions a scenario where resources are not just exported, but transformed into finished goods within Africa’s borders, strengthening economic sovereignty.

Evidence shows the transformative potential of local processing. For example, Zambia’s investment in domestic copper processing is part of broader reforms that have supported economic recovery, with GDP growth projected at 4.5% in 2024 and 6.6% in 2025, driven by mining, manufacturing, and renewed investor confidence (AfDB, 2024). Coordinated efforts—such as linking Nigeria’s oil production with refining hubs in neighboring states, or integrating agricultural supply chains from East to West Africa—can create millions of jobs, reduce import dependency, and strengthen resilience to global shocks.

Moreover, with institutions like the African Union, Afreximbank, and regional economic communities offering policy and financial support, the infrastructure to implement this vision is already emerging. The challenge now lies in political will, investment coordination, and harmonizing industrial policies across borders.

4.3. Benefits

Value Addition

Local processing transforms Africa’s role in global value chains from a mere exporter of raw materials to a producer of finished goods. This not only multiplies revenue but also fosters industrial development. For example, exporting raw cocoa yields about \$2,000 per ton, whereas processed chocolate can earn over \$10,000 per ton in international markets. By retaining the manufacturing stage, African countries capture more economic value, increase tax revenues, and enhance technological capability. Countries like Ghana and Côte d’Ivoire have begun implementing policies to process more of their cocoa domestically, signaling a shift toward value addition.

Job Creation

Manufacturing is labor-intensive and has historically driven large-scale employment in developing countries. Ethiopia’s textile and garment sector illustrates this potential: supported by government and foreign investment, industrial parks like Hawassa have created around 30,000 jobs, with potential to reach 60,000 (UNIDO, 2018), primarily for young women. Beyond direct employment, manufacturing ecosystems stimulate job growth in logistics, supply chain management, maintenance, and other support services, creating a multiplier effect across the economy. Industrialization also provides an entry point for upskilling the workforce.

Intra-African Trade

The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), the world’s largest free trade area by number of countries, aims to eliminate 90% of tariffs and reduce non-tariff barriers. By easing trade across borders, AfCFTA incentivizes local sourcing of intermediate goods and promotes specialization across the continent. For instance, instead of importing machinery from Europe, Kenyan firms could source components from South Africa or Egypt. This fosters economic integration, boosts regional value chains, and supports SMEs by expanding their market access beyond domestic borders.

Infrastructure

Efficient infrastructure underpins industrial competitiveness. Investments such as the Standard Gauge Railway (SGR) connecting Mombasa to Nairobi have cut freight costs and halved transportation times. Improved roads, ports, and energy infrastructure reduce production costs and attract foreign direct investment. For example, electricity access in Rwanda—driven by government investment in renewable energy and grid expansion—has significantly increased industrial productivity. Infrastructure development also connects rural producers to urban and export markets, expanding opportunities for inclusive growth.

Local Businesses

Homegrown African companies like the Dangote Group in Nigeria play a critical role in reducing reliance on foreign corporations. Dangote's cement factories, for instance, have helped make Nigeria self-sufficient in cement and enabled exports to neighboring countries. Supporting such firms through favorable policies, access to credit, and infrastructure empowers them to scale, innovate, and compete globally. Local businesses also tend to reinvest profits domestically, strengthening national economies and building long-term resilience.

Resilience

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the fragility of global supply chains. Africa faced delays and shortages in essential goods like personal protective equipment and food due to overreliance on imports. Developing regional supply chains—where inputs and final goods are produced and exchanged within Africa—can mitigate such risks. For instance, South Africa ramped up local production of medical equipment during the pandemic, supplying neighboring countries. Regional interdependence not only enhances resilience to global shocks but also builds solidarity and shared prosperity.

Global Exports on African Terms

African countries often face trade structures that favor raw material exports while penalizing processed goods. A stark example, as noted by the International Coffee Organization (ICO, 2011, pp. 3, 10), is coffee: while raw beans can be exported duty-free, processed coffee often faces higher tariffs, making it harder for producing countries to benefit from value addition. However, a unified African supply chain—where raw materials, inputs, and services are shared regionally—enhances Africa's bargaining power in global trade negotiations. Coordinated policy frameworks under AfCFTA can help demand fairer trade terms, protect strategic industries, and support the export of finished African products under better conditions.

4.4. Implementation plan

Phase 1: Policy Framework

The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) provides the most promising foundation for industrialization in Africa. By eliminating up to 90% of tariffs and addressing non-tariff barriers—such as customs delays, inconsistent product standards, and border bureaucracy—AfCFTA enables the freer movement of goods, services, and capital across borders. Full implementation of its protocols would reduce transaction costs, enhance cross-border collaboration, and support the emergence of continent-wide value chains. To fully harness this opportunity, national governments must align their trade and industrial policies with AfCFTA's framework, ensuring coherence in standards, logistics, and incentives.

To attract regional and international investment into these emerging supply chains, African governments can offer a range of strategic incentives. These may include tax holidays, import duty exemptions on industrial machinery, and fast-tracked licensing for projects that span multiple African countries. Such measures would lower the cost of doing business and encourage partnerships between firms across the continent. For example, a Senegalese enterprise could collaborate with a Ghanaian manufacturer to produce fertilizers, combining raw materials, logistics capacity, and technical expertise to achieve economies of scale.

However, incentives and open markets alone are not enough. A unified supply chain requires a skilled and adaptive workforce. Industrialization depends on technicians, engineers, supply chain analysts, factory operators, and maintenance workers—all of whom must be trained for the future of African industry. Governments must therefore invest in vocational training, technical education, and STEM programs that equip youth with job-ready skills. Nigeria's National Industrial Skills Development Programme and Rwanda's TVET expansion are effective models that can be adapted elsewhere. Ideally, curricula should be co-designed with industry leaders to ensure training aligns with market needs and actual job functions, rather than theoretical knowledge alone.

Phase 2: Infrastructure

A successful One-Continent, One-Supply Chain strategy hinges on a robust and interconnected infrastructure network. Efficient transportation systems are essential to facilitate the movement of raw materials, components, and finished goods across borders. Priority should be given to expanding and linking key railways—such as extending the TAZARA Railway to inland ports—and modernizing strategic seaports like Tema in Ghana and Mombasa in Kenya. These upgrades would significantly reduce logistics costs, improve delivery times, and strengthen regional value chains. Investments must focus on regional corridors that connect industrial zones with domestic markets and international export routes.

Energy infrastructure is equally critical. Without reliable and affordable electricity, industrial production cannot thrive. Many African countries still face frequent blackouts and prohibitively high energy costs. Addressing this challenge will require scaling up renewable energy sources such as solar and wind, which are abundantly available across the continent. These can complement existing hydro and thermal sources, reducing dependency on imported fossil fuels. Initiatives like the West African Power Pool, which facilitates cross-border electricity trading, can help stabilize regional supply. Additionally, off-grid solar mini-grids offer cost-effective electrification for rural industrial zones that are often excluded from national grids.

Finally, the development of regional logistics hubs can dramatically improve the efficiency of supply chain coordination. These hubs—such as bonded warehouses, dry ports, and integrated trade zones—can streamline the storage, packaging, and customs processes that often delay cross-border trade. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are crucial in establishing these centers. The Kigali Logistics Platform in Rwanda, developed in partnership with DP World, provides a model for success. By strategically positioning such logistics hubs throughout the continent, African countries can cut transit times, lower costs, and boost their competitiveness in global trade.

Phase 3: Industry Development

Accelerating industrial growth in Africa requires strategic integration of technology, targeted investments, and comprehensive support for small and medium enterprises (SMEs). At the forefront of this transformation is the adoption of emerging technologies that can enhance supply chain transparency and efficiency. Blockchain, in particular, holds great promise for

sectors like agriculture, mining, and pharmaceuticals. By allowing producers to digitally record the origin, movement, and processing of goods, blockchain builds trust with international markets, reduces corruption, and enhances traceability. Ghana's pilot program to track cocoa from farm to port is a pioneering example of how such technology can be deployed to strengthen Africa's credibility in global trade networks.

Investment is the next critical pillar. The African Development Bank (AfDB) plays a central role in financing industrialization through its Industrialize Africa strategy, which supports priority sectors such as agribusiness, light manufacturing, and energy (AfDB, 2024). The AfDB provides long-term loans, equity investments, and technical assistance, but its efforts must be complemented by national development banks and sovereign wealth funds. These entities can co-invest in infrastructure such as industrial parks, SME accelerators, and export processing zones that stimulate both domestic production and cross-border commerce.

Equally vital is the empowerment of SMEs—the economic backbone of the continent. These enterprises are often the most agile and innovative, yet they face persistent barriers in accessing capital, markets, and mentorship. To scale their operations, SMEs need startup grants, low-interest financing, and integration into formal supply chains. Governments and pan-African bodies can support this by establishing innovation hubs and business incubators tailored to specific sectors. Ethiopia's leather processing cluster and Kenya's agri-processing initiatives offer replicable models. Moreover, digital platforms can help bridge geographic gaps by offering remote access to funding opportunities, training resources, and market linkages, making industrial participation more inclusive and widespread.

4.5. Challenges and mitigation strategies

Capital Access

A major barrier to Africa's industrial transformation is limited access to long-term, affordable capital. Large projects—like agro-processing zones or regional railways—need patient financing, but most African banks offer only high-interest, short-term loans. SMEs also struggle with insufficient collateral and documentation. To address this, countries should explore alternative financing. Sovereign wealth funds (SWFs), such as the Nigeria Sovereign Investment Authority (NSIA) and Fundo Soberano de Angola (FSDEA), can channel resource revenues into regional investments. Diaspora bonds, which tap into patriotic sentiment, have raised significant funds in places like Ghana. Expanding venture capital—backed by public-private partnerships and first-loss guarantees from institutions like the AfDB—can also support high-growth sectors like manufacturing, green energy, and logistics.

Foreign Trade Barriers

A significant structural challenge to Africa's industrialization is the persistence of foreign trade barriers, particularly in the form of tariff escalation. Wealthy countries often impose higher import duties on processed goods than on raw materials, making it economically disadvantageous for African producers to move up the value chain. This system reinforces Africa's traditional role as a supplier of unprocessed commodities while discouraging value addition, job creation, and technology transfer on the continent.

A stark illustration of this occurred in April 2025 when the U.S. imposed a 10% baseline tariff on all imports and up to 50% reciprocal duties on products from 20 African countries—overriding AGOA's duty-free access. Lesotho's textile exports were hit with a 50% tariff, South Africa 30–31%, and Nigeria 14%, notably impacting processed sectors like apparel, auto parts, and agri-products (Reuters/AP/Analysts).

Such episodes reveal how vulnerable Africa's value-added exports are to shifts in foreign trade policy. To mitigate these risks, African countries must pursue coordinated trade strategies under the AfCFTA. Acting as a bloc can increase Africa's bargaining power in global forums like the WTO, making it harder for large economies to single out individual nations. Moreover, Africa must diversify its trade partnerships beyond traditional Western markets by deepening South-South trade with blocs like ASEAN, Mercosur, and BRICS. Developing reciprocal trade agreements that protect processed goods and codify fair treatment can also shield the continent from the volatility of unilateral preference programs like AGOA.

Foreign Competition

Africa's push for industrialization faces risks from foreign competition. While trade liberalization under the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) promises long-term gains, it can expose young African industries to global firms with lower costs and better technology. Sectors like textiles, food processing, and pharmaceuticals risk being outcompeted before reaching scale. To protect them, governments should adopt targeted policies—such as anti-dumping laws and local content requirements. Nigeria's Oil and Gas Local Content Act, which boosted local participation from under 10% to over 40% in ten years, offers a strong example. Phased tariff reductions for vulnerable sectors can also give local firms time to grow and compete effectively.

Political and Bureaucratic Resistance

Africa faces political and bureaucratic resistance that slows regional projects. Diverse national interests, inertia, mistrust, and protectionism hinder shared infrastructure and industrial policies. To overcome this, strategic, gradual steps are needed. Pilot cross-border industrial zones, like the Nigeria–Benin manufacturing corridor, can build trust and demonstrate success. These zones require harmonized regulations, joint governance, and shared infrastructure. High-level political champions—such as rotating AfCFTA “Industrialization Envoys” from Heads of State—can maintain momentum and accountability. Bureaucratic delays should be reduced through digital tools like a continent-wide “Single Window” for trade to streamline customs, licensing, and compliance. Transparent platforms will cut corruption, speed approvals, and boost investor confidence.

This policy transforms Africa into a manufacturing leader, ensuring prosperity. While it will increase the share of African products consumed within the continent, it also positions Africa to export value-added goods globally on fairer, more beneficial terms.

5. Conclusion

Africa's abundant natural resources and youthful population position it for transformation, yet the continent continues to grapple with economic hardship rooted in historical exploitation and dependence on raw exports. To reverse this trend, industrialization, financial inclusion, and intra-African trade are not optional—they are essential strategies for building a resilient, self-reliant economy.

Concrete models already exist. Kenya's Tala has piloted skill-backed microloans that empower individuals with both capital and capability. Rwanda's cooperative-based apprenticeship-for-ownership system exemplifies how inclusive training and shared ownership can build local industries. Meanwhile, the One-Continent, One-Supply Chain strategy—underpinned by the AfCFTA—offers a path toward integrated value chains and reduced external dependency (UNDP, 2023).

To realize these visions, policymakers must prioritize full implementation of AfCFTA and invest heavily in skill development and youth entrepreneurship. Economic empowerment does not exist in a vacuum—it creates the fiscal capacity to improve healthcare, address climate challenges, and fund sustainable development across sectors.

Africa's future is not confined to the margins of the global economy. With decisive leadership and collective effort, the continent can become a key player in global markets. As Nelson Mandela aptly said, "It always seems impossible until it's done." Africa's economic revolution is not a distant ideal—it is both necessary and achievable through bold, coordinated action.

Acknowledgement

This work earned Evaida Chimedza from Copperbelt University the first Nelson Mandela Essay Prize. The views expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect those of the Copperbelt University. The author gratefully acknowledges Professor Thomas Pogge for his guidance and constructive feedback. This research was supported by personal funds and independent effort. Evaida Chimedza declares no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

References

AfDB (African Development Bank) (2024). Ten-Year Strategy 2024–2033: Seizing Africa's Opportunities for a Prosperous, Inclusive, Resilient, and Integrated Continent. Abidjan: African Development Bank.
https://www.afdb.org/sites/default/files/documents/strategy-documents/afdb_tys_en-final-rev-april2025-web.pdf

AfDB (African Development Bank) (2025). African Economic Outlook 2025 (p. 7). Abidjan: African Development Bank. <https://www.afdb.org/en/documents/african-economic-outlook-2025>

Afreximbank (2023). African Trade Report 2023: Export Manufacturing and Regional Value Chains in Africa under a New World Order. African Export–Import Bank.
<https://www.afreximbank.com/reports/african-trade-report-2023-export-manufacturing-and-regional-value-chains-in-africa-under-a-new-world-order>

Afreximbank (2024). African Trade Report. African Export–Import Bank.
https://media.afreximbank.com/afrexim/African-Trade-Report_2024.pdf

Athukorala, P. (2010). *The Rise of Asia: Trade and investment in global perspective*. London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203849965>

Berlanstein, L. R. (2003). *The Industrial Revolution and Work in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. London: Routledge.

Blasi, J. R., Freeman, R. B. & Kruse, D. (2013). *The Citizen's Share: Putting Ownership Back into Democracy*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Byiers, B., Apiko, P. & Karkare, P. (2021). The AfCFTA and Industrialisation: From Policy to Practice. Maastricht: European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM).

Candemir, A., Duvalleix, S. & Latruffe, L. (2021). Agricultural Cooperatives and Farm Sustainability – A Literature Review. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 35(4), 1118–1144.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12417>

Goldsmith, A. A. (2001). Foreign aid and statehood in Africa. *International Organization*, 55(1), 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081801551432>

Grameen Bank (2009). Annual Report (pp. 45–48). Grameen Bank. <https://www.grameen.com/annual-report-2009/>

ICO (International Coffee Organization). (2011). The effects of tariffs on the coffee trade (107th Session). London, United Kingdom.

Kruse, D., Blasi, J. R., & Freeman, R. B. (2010). Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options (pp. 295–312). University of Chicago Press. <https://www.nber.org/books-and-chapters/shared-capitalism-work/chapter-8-shared-capitalism-and-employee-ownership>

Layne, R. (2025, April 24). How \$40 loans lifted lives in Kenya. Harvard Business School Working Knowledge. <https://www.library.hbs.edu/working-knowledge/how-40-dollar-loans-lifted-lives-in-kenya>

Maindi, C., Osuga, I.M. & Gitau Gicheha, M. (2020). Advancing climate smart agriculture: adoption potential of multiple on-farm dairy production strategies among farmers in Murang'a County, Kenya. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 32.

Meek, C.B. & Woodworth, W. (1990). Technical Training and Enterprise: Mondragon's Educational System and Its Implications for Other Cooperatives. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 11(4), 505–528. <https://doi:10.1177/0143831X9001100404>

Morris, D. (1992). The Mondragon system: Cooperation at work. Institute for Local Self-Reliance.

Oklo, I. A. (2022). Critical appreciation of the Igbo's apprenticeship system of Imu-Ahia (learning business). *Nnadiebube Journal of Education in Africa (NJEIA)*, 7(1), 18-19. <https://www.acjol.org/index.php/njeia/article/download/2908/2881>

Smith, A. (1776). *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. London: W. Strahan and T. Cadell. <https://www.econlib.org/library/Smith/smWN.html>

UNDP (2023). *Pan-African Production Networks*. United Nations Development Programme.

UNIDO (2018). Industrial park development in Ethiopia Case study report. United Nations Industrial Development Organization. <https://www.unido.org/news/ethiopias-industrial-parks-creating-jobs-and-driving-export-growth> <https://ipp.unido.org/sites/default/files/knowledge/2020-11/Industrial%20park%20development%20in%20Ethiopia%20case%20study%20report.pdf>

USGS (2024). Mineral Commodity Summaries: Cobalt (pp. 66–67). United States Geological Survey. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2024/mcs2024-cobalt.pdf>

World Bank (2020). The African Continental Free Trade Area: Economic and Distributional Effects. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/trade/publication/the-african-continental-free-trade-area>

World Bank. (2021). Financial Inclusion Data, Sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/globalfindex/brief/financial-inclusion-in-sub-saharan-africa-overview>

Yunus, M., & Jolis, A. (2000). *Banker to the poor: Micro-lending and the battle against world poverty*. New York: Public Affairs.